

# CDBG/HOME AWARDS

# **MONITORING HANDBOOK**

| Recipient:                                       |             |
|--|-------------|
| Award Number:                                    | _Amount: \$ |
| Date of Review:                                  |             |
| Type of Monitoring:                              |             |
| Interim: Final:                                  |             |
| Type of Project:                                 |             |
| Projected # Units: Actual # Units                | Goal %      |
| Name of Reviewer:                                |             |
| Name of person(s) interviewed:                   | Title:      |
| Subrecipient/Award Administrator:                |             |
| Name of Person:                                  |             |
| Subrecipient/Award Administrator Execution Date: |             |

| Environmental Review   |                  |                    |                               |    |
|--|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----|
| Exempt Release of Funds Date:  | _                |                    |                               |    |
| Non-exempt Release of Funds D  | ate: _           |                    |                               |    |
| Emergency Repair:  |                  |                    |                               |    |
| Address  |                  | Date Approved      |                               |    |
|  |                  |                    |                               |    |
| Did the recipient have a copy of its files?  | Environmental Re | eview Record in    | Yes                           | No |
| Does IHFA have a complete cop<br>Record?<br>(If no, get a copy of the missing of   | Yes              | No                 |                               |    |
| After looking at the individual client files were any contracts signed prior the Non-exempt Release of Funds date?  If yes, explain: |                  |                    | Yes                           | No |
|  |                  |                    |                               |    |
| After looking at the individual fit to a Section 106 Review being co   |                  | racts signed prior | Yes                           | No |
| Property Address   | Section 106 Cor  | ncurrence Date     | 1 <sup>st</sup> Contract Date |    |
|  |                  |                    |                               |    |

If yes, explain:

| Prior Monitoring History       |   |                         |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| the Recipient, Subrecipient, & | gs and findings that have occurred Award Administrator. | d for                   |
| Recipient: Award Number        | Data of Manitoning                                      | Area of Finding/Consorr |
| Award Number                   | Date of Monitoring                                      | Area of Finding/Concern |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
| Subrecipient:                  |   |                         |
| Award Number                   | Date of Monitoring                                      | Area of Finding/Concern |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |
|                                |   |                         |

### Administrator:

| Award Number | Date of Monitoring | Area of Finding/Concern |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |
|              |                    |                         |

Were there any findings that have previously occurred? If so, list the repeat findings:

| Yes | No |
|-----|----|
|     |    |

| Area of Finding/Concern | Describe Repeat Violation |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
|                         |                           |
|                         |                           |
|                         |                           |

| Are the repeat findings from the same funding round?  | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the Recipient, Subrecipient, Award Administrator have ample time to correct the cause of the finding?   | Yes | No |
| What are the reasons the repeat finding occurred?   |     |    |
|   |     |    |
|   |     |    |
| Financials  |     |    |
| Federal Cash Control Register   |     |    |
| On IHFA Budget, include the following:  • Date check was deposited  • Date check(s) disbursed  • Amount of disbursement   |     |    |
| Was ledger present?   | Yes | No |
| What entity disbursed checks to the vendors?  |     |    |
| Final Transaction Date  |     |    |
| CDBG Recipients Only  |     |    |
| Did the local unit of government use a separate ledger within the general fund or a separate bank account to account for CDBG award funds received and disbursed?   | Yes | No |
| Was the account non-interest bearing?   | Yes | No |
| Were all CDBG award funds disbursed by the recipient to a balance of less than \$5,000 within 3 business days? (explain violations)                                 | Yes | No |
| If applicable, were all CDBG funds disbursed by the award administrator/subrecipient to a balance of less than \$5,000 within 3 business days? (explain violations) | Yes | No |

# HOME Recipients Only

| Were all HOME funds disbursed to a zero balance within 15 pusiness days? (explain violations) |             |   |                   |               | Yes  | No   |
|---|-------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|------|
|   | or/subreci  | l HOME funds disbu<br>pient to a zero balan |                   |               | Yes  | No   |
| Ledger of 1   | Receipts,   | Disbursements and                           | l Balances        |               |  |      |
| disburseme  | nt of CDB   |   | andomly select    | a few checl   | s ledger shows the records and look for the following sited by vendor. |      |
| Was ledger  | present?    |   |                   |               | Yes  | No   |
| Randomly p  | pick check  | ks from Ledger to ve                        | erify for Accura  | ıcy (list eac | h one)   |      |
| Check #   | Date        | Vendor                                      | Amount            | Correct       | Comment  | ,    |
|   |             |   |                   |               |  |      |
|   |             |   |                   |               |  |      |
|   |             |   |                   |               |  |      |
| Did a rando received the  |             | of checks demonstr                          | ate that the vend | dor           | Yes  | No   |
| Ledger of   | Appropri    | ations, Encumbran                           | ces, Disbursen    | nents, and    | Balances   |      |
|   |             | budget must have on<br>the IHFA budget an   |                   |               | this ledger against the  | IHFA |
| Was separa  | te ledger f | for each line item of                       | the budget kept   | i?            | Yes  | No   |
| Did the Rec (explain)   | cipient ove | er-expend any line it                       | em?               |               | Yes  | No   |
| Do IHFA a   | nd Recipio  | ents budgets agree?                         |                   |               | Yes  | No   |

#### **Contractor Obligation Control Ledger**

On this ledger all contracts and change orders must be recorded. If there is more money obligated than the IHFA budget, find out how much of the contract is CDBG/HOME.

| Was ledger present?  | Yes             | No   |
|--|-----------------|------|
| Were all contracts and change orders recorded on ledger?   | Yes             | No   |
| Were all costs billed to correct line item? (explain)  | Yes             | No   |
| Ledger of Expenditures by Site Address   |                 |      |
| There is one ledger for each property address. This ledger controls for amount paid to the contractor.                   | owed and the am | ount |
| Was a Ledger of Expenditure by Site Address kept for each property rehabilitated under this award? (1998 awards forward) | Yes             | No   |
| Do they have contracts/change orders to back up each <i>amount listed</i> (expenditure).                                 | Yes             | No   |

#### **Source Documentation**

Randomly select draw(s) from budget and verify support documentation. Recipient must have documentation for all items listed in the claim. NOTE:

Does the actual amount paid per site address match the ledger?

- Award Administrators are not required to keep time sheets (invoices are fine); however, award administrator must have a documentation system for program delivery.
- Subrecipients are required to have time sheets that document the hours of work by each specific line item and by each site address for program delivery.

| Draw # | Amount | Proper<br>Documentation | Explain |
|--------|--------|-------------------------|---------|
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |
|        |        |                         |         |

| Did the recipi | uate support docum | nentation to verify the | Yes | s No |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|------|
| If no explain: |                    |                         |     |      |

Yes

No

| Were all expenses billed <i>If no, explain</i> :                         | Yes               | No                     |          |         |    |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------|---------|----|
| Did the recipient claim an If yes, explain:                              | ny ineligible ex  | penses?                |          | Yes     | No |
| Did the subrecipient keep of hours worked by each                        |                   | at documented the      | number   | Yes     | No |
| Was program delivery horequired, CDBG required (November 1997) and after | l with all award  | _                      |          | Yes     | No |
| Acquisition – Receipt of   | HUD-1             |                        |          |         |    |
| Did all property addresse  | s have a HUD-     | 1 for acquisition?     |          | Yes     | No |
| Was the HUD-1 Received by IHFA within 7 days of closing?                 |                   |                        |          | Yes     | No |
| If no, complete the follow   | ving table:       |                        |          |         |    |
| Address  | Closing<br>Date   | Date HUD-1<br>Received |          | Explain |    |
|  |                   |                        |          |         |    |
|  |                   |                        |          |         |    |
| Client Files (Construction   | on and Contra     | ectors)                | l        |         |    |
| Were there any interim d   |                   |                        |          | Yes     | No |
| If yes, was an interim ins<br>been satisfactorily compl                  | •                 | ted to ensure work     | had      | Yes     | No |
| Does IHFA have a signed after)   | l inspection in i | its file? (Claims 7-   | 1-02 and | Yes     | No |
| If no, explain:  |                   |                        |          |         |    |

| Prior to a final disbursement to completed to ensure work had   |                        |                 | Yes         | No |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----|
| Does IHFA have a signed inspafter)  | pection in its file? ( | Claims 7-1-02 & | Yes         | No |
| If no, explain:   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Were any contractors paid an included on the Ledger of Exp If yes, explain:   |                        |                 | Yes         | No |
| Did IHFA receive the Receipt of Payment Forms within 21 days of Claim?  Yes  No   |                        |                 |             |    |
| If no, explain:   |                        |                 |             |    |
|   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Match   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Take copy of all match docum  | nentation              |                 |             |    |
| <ul> <li>All match must be fully documented. Acceptable forms of documentation include:</li> <li>◆ Volunteer labor – time sheets. Value at \$10 an hour unless it is a professional service then normal rate of pay.</li> <li>◆ Donated Material – must have copies of invoices or inventory value (spot check a few homes for donated material)</li> </ul> |                        |                 |             |    |
| Total Award (less) Admin (if applicable) (less) E/R (if applicable)  Total Amount to be Matched   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Identify the sources of match used on this project:   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Source  | Amount                 | Do              | cumentation |    |
|   |                        |                 |             |    |
|   |                        |                 |             |    |
|   |                        |                 |             |    |
| Total   |                        |                 |             |    |
| What percentage of match is there to total project funds drawn?%  |                        |                 |             |    |

| Did the recipient propose to use support services as match? (If yes, supporting services will need to be documented and submitted annually with the Annual Rental Report) | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Procurement   |     |    |
| Subrecipient Agreement  |     |    |
| Initial Application Date:   |     |    |
| Was a subrecipient agreement executed?  | Yes | No |
| Date agreement executed?  |     |    |
| Were any pre-agreement costs incurred?  If yes, explain:  | Yes | No |
| If subrecipient agreement executed, answer all of the following:  |     |    |
| <ol> <li>A statement of work, schedule for completing the work and a<br/>budget?</li> </ol>   | Yes | No |
| 2. Description of the records to be kept by the subrecipient and the recipient?   | Yes | No |
| 3. Description of how program income will be handled?   | Yes | No |
| <ol> <li>Statement of uniform administrative requirements such as<br/>Treasury Circulars A-110 and A-133?</li> </ol>  | Yes | No |
| 5. A clause for suspension and termination of agreements for noncompliance and convenience?   | Yes | No |
| 6. A statement of reversion of CDBG/HOME-funded assets at the time the agreement expires?   | Yes | No |
| 7. A statement of any federally approved indirect cost allocation plan and the name of the approving agency?  | Yes | No |
| 8. A description of other program requirements?   | Yes | No |
| Did the subrecipient agreement contain all of the required Federal Contract provisions?   | Yes | No |
| What type of procurement was used by recipient? NFP LUG   |     |    |

#### **Procurement by Not-For-Profits**

Housing from Shelters to Homeownership

NOTE: If a not-for profit does not have approved procurement standards, the not-for-profit would be required to use the Local Unit of Government procurement method.

| Did the not-for-profit follow their approved procurement standards? <i>If no, explain:</i>   | Yes    | No          |
|--|--------|-------------|
| Was a cost and price analysis performed and documented in the file in connection with every procurement action?  | Yes    | No          |
| Procurement by Municipalities: Cities, Towns, and Counties   |        |             |
| Did the project involve competitive sealed bids?   | Yes    | No          |
| If yes, answer the following:  |        |             |
| Did the bid documents include the following:   |        |             |
| a. Technical specifications?   | Yes    | No          |
| b. City, town, or county, as well as federal and state requirements?   | Yes    | No          |
| c. CDBG/HOME related requirements?   | Yes    | No          |
| d. Cost and pricing information?   | Yes    | No          |
| e. Method of payment?  | Yes    | No          |
| f. Advertisement for bid (as required by state law)?   | Yes    | No          |
| g. Bidder information specifying method of bidding, bid evaluation, and contract award?  | Yes    | No          |
| g. Bid proposal form (Indiana General Form No.96) required for public works projects with contracts to exceed \$100,000?   | Yes    | No          |
| i. Contract form?  | Yes    | No          |
| j. Bonding forms (bid, performance, and payment bonds), if applicable?   | Yes    | No          |
| k. Standards questionnaires and Financial Statement for Bidders (Indiana General Form No. 96A) required for public works projects with contracts to exceed \$100,000?  | Yes    | No          |
| Did the recipient advertise at lease twice in a newspaper of general circulation, and did the advertisements appear at least one week apart with the second publication being at least ten days prior to the date bids will be received? | Yes    | No          |
| Program Management & Audit   |        | Page 20     |
| Housing from Shalters to Homoownershin   | Ravisa | 1 June 2004 |

Revised June 2004

Did the advertisement include the following: Include the date and place fixed for receiving bids? Yes No c. It should also include bonding and certification requirements and the place where plans and specifications are available for public review? Yes No d. For Davis-Bacon projects, the current prevailing federal wage publication must be incorporated on the notice for all applicable procurement. Yes No e. Require all bidders to submit, on prescribed state forms, required financial statements, a statement(s) of experience, proposed plans for performing the work and equipment available? Yes No Was a bid tabulation sheet available showing name and bid price of each bidder, and whether the bid was responsive? Yes No Were bids awarded to the lowest or most responsive bidder? Yes No Was this firm verified against the HUD debarred list? Yes No Did the recipient use a bidder's list? Yes No MBE/WBE Did the recipient solicit at least (2) MBE/WBE firms with each Yes No procurement action? Type of Solicitation: **Competitive Negotiation Procedure** Did the RFP include the following: a. Scope of Services - detailed description of the extent and type of work to be performed? Yes No b. Time Requirements - performance period? Yes No c. Considerations for Bidding - any additional requirements not pertaining to the scope of services that would be considered when preparing a proposal? Yes No d. Proposal Instructions - the format of the proposal? Yes No f. Evaluation of Proposals - The recipient must identify all significant evaluation factors (and their relative importance), including price or cost where required, technical expertise, past experience, price, staffing, etc. and how the proposals received will be scored? Yes No

Program Management & Audit

| Did the recipient have an established criteria for evaluating proposals?  Did the entity with the best score/evaluation receive the contract?  Yes  Was this firm verified against the HUD debarred list?  Yes  Small Purchase Procedures  Services Under \$25,000 | No No No No    |
|--|----------------|
| proposals? Yes  Did the entity with the best score/evaluation receive the contract? Yes  Was this firm verified against the HUD debarred list? Yes  Small Purchase Procedures  Services Under \$25,000  Did the recipient receive at least two quotes? Yes         | No<br>No<br>No |
| Was this firm verified against the HUD debarred list?  Small Purchase Procedures  Services Under \$25,000  Did the recipient receive at least two quotes?  Yes   | No<br>No       |
| Small Purchase Procedures  Services Under \$25,000  Did the recipient receive at least two quotes?  Yes  | No<br>No       |
| Services Under \$25,000  Did the recipient receive at least two quotes?  Yes   | No             |
| Did the recipient receive at least two quotes?  Yes  | No             |
|  | No             |
| If a firm was selected on basis other than price, did the file contain   |                |
|  |                |
| Supplies and Materials Under \$25,000  |                |
| Are there two informal price quotes using Exhibit A or two formal quotes using Exhibit B?  Yes   | No             |
| Bonding Requirements (N/A - Owner-occupied rehabilitation)   |                |
| Was any one contract awarded when aggregated exceeded \$100,000? Yes  If yes, explain:   | No             |
| If yes, complete the following:  |                |
| Was there a bid guarantee from each bidder equivalent to 5% of the bid price?  Yes   | No             |
| Was a performance bond on the part of the contractor for 100% of the contract price?  Yes  | No             |
| Was there a payment bond on the part of the contractor for 100% of the contract price?  Yes  | No             |
| If any of the above were not done, did the recipient request a waiver from IHFA?  Yes  | No             |
| All Construction Contracts   |                |
| Did contracts have a fixed amount of payment? Yes  | No             |
| Was Section 3 Language included in contract?  Yes  | No             |
| Program Management & AuditPageHousing from Shelters to HomeownershipRevised June 2   |                |

| Was all applicable Federal Contract Provisions included?                          | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the contractor receive Lead Brochure?   | Yes | No |
| Davis Bacon   |     |    |
| Is this project Davis Bacon?  If yes, complete the remainder of this section      | Yes | No |
| Did the recipient request a wage decision from IHFA?                              | Yes | No |
| Date of initial wage decision:  |     |    |
| Wage Decision # with modifications  |     |    |
| Was the wage decision and HUD 4010 physically included in the bid specifications? | Yes | No |
| Was the wage decision re-verified 10 days prior to bid opening?                   | Yes | No |
| Date of 10-day Wage update:   |     |    |
| Wage Decision # with modifications  |     |    |
| Was there a copy of the minutes from the bid opening?                             | Yes | No |
| Date of Bid Opening   |     |    |
| Date of Notice of Contract Award  |     |    |
| Date of Construction Contract:  |     |    |
| Amount of Construction Contract:  |     |    |
| General Contractor Information:   |     |    |
| Name:   |     |    |
| Address:  |     |    |
| Wage Decision in Contract:  |     |    |
| Wage Decision # with modifications  |     |    |
| Was the contract executed within 90 days of re-verifying the wage decision?       | Yes | No |
| If no, did the recipient request a new wage decision?                             | Yes | No |

| Contract:  |                      |         |
|--|----------------------|---------|
| • Were the federal contract provisions included with the contract?   | Yes                  | No      |
| • Was the HUD 4010 and wage decision physically attached?  | Yes                  | No      |
| Did any additional job classifications need to be added to the wage decision?                              | Yes                  | No      |
| If yes, did the recipient request a classification from HUD?   | Yes                  | No      |
| Date Construction began:   |                      |         |
| Date Construction ended:   |                      |         |
| Payroll  |                      |         |
| General  |                      |         |
| ♦ If a worker-employee is doing multiple jobs, the over-time rate is bas when the 40 hour mark is reached. | ed on the work bei   | ng done |
| ◆ To tell if a worker/employee is working multiple tasks, look at the pa would be two dollar figures)      | yroll form line 7 (t | here    |
| Did any employees work more than 40 hours?   | Yes                  | No      |
| If yes, was the employee paid correct and applicable over-time?  | Yes                  | No      |

| Job Classification | Wage Rate | Actual Wage<br>Paid |         |
|--------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
|                    |           | Paid                | Correct |
|                    |           |                     |         |
|                    |           |                     |         |
|                    |           |                     |         |
|                    |           |                     |         |
|                    |           |                     |         |

### Self-Employed Owners and Independent Subcontractors

No

Were any volunteers used on this project?

If Yes, did the recipient request an exception? (explain).

Yes

| • | Do not need to list rate of pay on payroll form, however the total contract amount must be divided by the total number of hours worked to complete the job. This dollar amount must be verified to the wage rate listed on the Wage Decision |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

### **Fringe Benefits**

- If listed on the wage decision must be:
  - 1. Paid in cash or
  - 2. Received in fringe benefits or
  - 3. A combination of both
- ♦ Contractors must submit documentation explaining how fringe benefits were calculated

#### **Payroll Register**

| Did the recipient receive the certified payrolls?  | Yes              | No    |
|--|------------------|-------|
| Did the recipient have a certified payroll for every week (even if no activity took place)?                                | Yes              | No    |
| Does the recipient have a copy of Wage Deductions (this should be checked if something looks a little out of the ordinary) | Yes              | No    |
| Evidence that employee interviews were checked against the payroll?  | Yes              | No    |
| Was restitution discovered by the recipient administrator?   | Yes              | No    |
| Was IHFA made aware of the restitution owed?   | Yes              | No    |
| If restitution was required, please include a copy of the documentation of worke the restitution.                          | r/employee recei | iving |
| Employee Interviews  |                  |       |
| Did the recipient conduct employee interviews?   | Yes              | No    |
| Did the recipient interview at least 10% of each trade?  | Yes              | No    |
| Final  |                  |       |
| Date of the "Notification of Completion/Final Inspection"  |                  | _     |
| Date "Final Wage Compliance Report" submitted  |                  | _     |
| Posters  |                  |       |
| Did the recipient have the EEO- Civil Rights poster hung?  | Yes              | No    |
| Did the recipient have the Equal Housing poster hung?  | Yes              | No    |
| Did the recipient have the federal IOSHA poster hung?  | Yes              | No    |
| Did the recipient have the Federal Polygraph poster hung?  | Yes              | No    |
| Did the recipient have the Secretary of Labor's Wage poster hung?  | Yes              | No    |
|  |                  |       |

Yes

No

# Award Condition Requirements

### **Constituency Served**

Complete the following chart:

| Income Level                           | Must Serve<br>according to<br>application | Actual Served |
|--|---|---------------|
| Assisted units at or below 30% AMI     | %   |               |
| Assisted units between 30.1% - 40% AMI | %   |               |
| Assisted units between 40.1% - 50% AMI | %   |               |
| Assisted units between 50.1% - 60% AMI | %   |               |
| Assisted units between 60.1% - 80% AMI | %   |               |
| Total                                  | 100%                                      |               |

| Did project meet income population served?  If no explain:  | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the recipient propose to target and give housing preference to Special Needs Populations?  If yes, what percentage?   | Yes | No |
| Did the recipient propose to set aside 100% Homeless, Transitional, or Migrant/Season Farm Workers?  How was this documented?   | Yes | No |
| Did the recipient propose to set aside 100% of the units for individuals at or above 62 years of age or 80% of the units for individuals at or above 55 years of age?  How was this documented? | Yes | No |

# **Development Characteristics**

| Did the recipient propose to include additional design features in the assisted units?   | Yes | No   |
|--|-----|------|
| If yes, did the recipient do this?  If no, explain:  | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| Did the recipient propose to incorporate accessibility features and design elements that go above and beyond the requirements of     |     |      |
| Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973?   | Yes | No   |
| If yes, did the recipient do this?  If no, explain:  | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| Did the recipient propose to offer energy conservation education?  | Yes | No   |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>   | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| Did the recipient propose to utilize the <i>Live the Dream. Own a</i>  |     |      |
| Home© curriculum?  | Yes | No   |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>   | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| Did the recipient propose to utilize vacant structure(s) for the housing?  | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>   | Yes | No   |
|  |     |      |
| Did the recipient propose to utilize historic tax credits or the development contains at these one unit that is a historic resource? | Yes | No   |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>   | Yes | No   |
| D. M. C. A. P.   |     | D 20 |

| Did the recipient propose to provide post purchase counseling?  | Yes                  | No       |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| If yes, counseling will be provided on $\square$ at least quarterly for one year of two years?  | r □ at least quarter | ly for   |
| Documentation in file to document this?  If no, explain.  | Yes                  | No       |
| Did recipient commit to requiring sweat equity or volunteer labor? If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i> | Yes<br>Yes           | No<br>No |
| Did the recipient commit to providing home maintenance training to program beneficiaries?   | Yes                  | No       |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>  | Yes                  | No       |
| Did recipient agree to provide an extended warranty?  | Yes                  | No       |
| If yes, will the extended warranty be provided for $\square$ at least two years or years?   | at least three o     | r more   |
| Documentation in file to document this?  If no, explain.  | Yes                  | No       |
| Did the recipient propose to use the applicant, subrecipient or administrator to secure bids and supervise construction?                                    | Yes                  | No       |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>  | Yes                  | No       |

| Did the recipient propose to provide one on one counseling in addition to class room style?                             | Yes                | No |
|---|--------------------|----|
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>                                | Yes                | No |
| Did the recipient propose to provide bilingual homeownership materials, counselors or training to program participants? | Yes                | No |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>                                | Yes                | No |
| Did the recipient propose to offer access to an Individual Development Account?   | Yes                | No |
| Was there documentation this was offered to beneficiaries? <i>If no, explain.</i>                                       | Yes                | No |
| Did the applicant propose to provide services or features unique to the housing program?                                | Yes                | No |
| If yes, did the applicant have enough to cover the points received in this area?  Explain:                              | Yes                | No |
| Did the project propose to offer an extended affordability period?  | Yes                | No |
| If yes, was there documentation in the files to demonstrate this? <i>If no, explain.</i>                                | Yes                | No |
| Did the applicant propose to provide other financing?   | Yes                | No |
| If yes, did the applicant propose $\square$ 75-99% of other resources or $\square$ 100% of                              | of other resources | ?  |
| Documentation in the file to demonstrate this?  If no, explain.   | Yes                | No |

| Did the recipient propose that the total project cost would not exceed the follo  ☐ Single site, Multi-family Rental & Transitional - \$75,0000/unit ☐ Single-family rental, transitional & Homebuyer - \$90,000/unit ☐ Owner-occupied Rehabilitation - \$35,000/unit ☐ Emergency Shelters, Youth Shelters, and Migrant/Seasonal Farm Worker Hou | -          | /bed     |
|--|------------|----------|
| ☐ HOC/DPA - \$10,000/unit  | Yes        | No       |
| Documentation in file to demonstrate this? <i>Explain:</i>   | Yes        | No       |
| Did the recipient receive written commitment for government monetary participation?  | Yes        | No       |
| Was the monitoring contribution $\square$ 10¢ - 24¢ or $\square$ 25¢ or more per capita?   |            |          |
| Was there documentation this was received? <i>If no, explain.</i>  | Yes        | No       |
| Did the recipient propose to develop in a Qualified Census Tract?  If yes, what percentage?%  Were properties identified in the application?   | Yes<br>Yes | No<br>No |
| If no, is there proper documentation of the required percentage? <i>Explain</i> .  | Yes        | No       |
| Did the recipient receive a commitment to waive private mortgage insurance for program beneficiaries?  | Yes        | No       |
| Did this occur?  If no, explain.   | Yes        | No       |
| Did the recipient receive a commitment to offer below market rate financing to program beneficiaries?  | Yes        | No       |
| Did this occur?  If no, explain.   | Yes        | No       |
|  |            |          |

#### CDBG Awards Only - Citizen Participation

Did the recipient conduct at least one public hearing for the purposes of obtaining citizens' views as a review of program performance? *If no, explain.* 

Yes No

#### Other Compliance Issues

#### **Fair Housing & Accessibility**

What action was taken to affirmatively further fair housing? (LUG only) (List and explain)

| Did recipient display the Fair Housing & Accessibility logos on all client Related materials? (If no explain)  | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did recipient display Fair Housing poster?   | Yes | No |
| Did recipient display Equal Opportunity poster?  | Yes | No |
| Did recipient display Lead Based Paint poster?   | Yes | No |
| Did subrecipient display Fair Housing poster?  | Yes | No |
| Did subrecipient display Equal Opportunity poster?   | Yes | No |
| Did subrecipient display Lead Based Paint poster?  | Yes | No |
| Did recipient or subrecipient have an effective communication system to interact with applicants, beneficiaries and members of the public? (explain) | Yes | No |
|  |     |    |
| Recipient offices accessible?  | Yes | No |
| Subrecipient offices accessible?   | Yes | No |

### URA

| Was there any temporary relocation? (If yes proceed)                                   |                                      | Yes     | No          |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Were the persons reimbursed for housing and other related displacement cost? (explain) |                                      | Yes     | No          |
|  |                                      |         |             |
| Did any voluntary acquisition of owner take place? (if yes proceed)                    | occupied or vacant housing           | Yes     | No          |
| Did the notice contain:  |                                      |         |             |
|  | Estimated FMV                        | Yes     | No          |
|  | Offer to purchase                    | Yes     | No          |
|  | Eminent domain                       | Yes     | No          |
| Was their acquisition of occupied renta (If yes proceed)                               | ıl property?                         | Yes     | No          |
| Was a General Information Notice give  | on to both displaced                 |         |             |
| and non-displaced residents at time of a   | *                                    | Yes     | No          |
| •  |                                      |         |             |
| Were Move In notices given after application, but prior to approval for new tenants?   |                                      | Yes     | No          |
| Were other appropriate notices given   |                                      |         |             |
| were other appropriate notices given   | Notice of Non-displacement           | Yes     | No          |
|  | Notice of Temporary Relocation       | Yes     | No          |
|  | Notice of Eligibility for Assistance | Yes     | No          |
| Were occupants to be displaced given 9   | 90 day (30 day) notices?             | Yes     | No          |
| Owner-occupied Projects  |                                      |         |             |
|  |                                      |         |             |
| Total Number of Homes Rehabilitated  |                                      |         |             |
| Total Number of Beneficiaries  |                                      |         |             |
| What was the total amount of assistance beneficiary?                                   | e per                                |         |             |
| For CDBG recipients, was the amount of assistance per beneficiary less than \$10,000?  |                                      | Yes     | No          |
| Project County   |                                      |         |             |
|  |                                      |         |             |
| Did recipient meet income population so <i>If no explain</i> :                         | served?                              | Yes     | No          |
| Program Management & Audit   |                                      |         | Page 33     |
| Housing from Shelters to Homeownership   |                                      | Revised | d June 2004 |

| HOME Rental Projects  |     |               |
|---|-----|---------------|
| Total Number of Beneficiaries   |     |               |
| What was the total amount of assistance per beneficiary?  |     |               |
| Was the amount of rehabilitation that went into the unit greater than \$25,000 per unit? (\$35,000 as of Round 1, 1999)         | Yes | No            |
| If yes, did the recipient have this approved in the application or request a modification? <i>Explain</i> :                     | Yes | No            |
|   |     |               |
| Total Number of Units in the Development  |     |               |
| Total Number of HOME Assisted Units   |     |               |
| Was there an executed lease for the HOME-assisted units?  If no, explain:   | Yes | No            |
| Did the lease contain any of the following prohibited language?   |     |               |
| Agreement to be sued  | Yes | No            |
| Treatment of property   | Yes | No            |
| Excusing owner from responsibility  | Yes | No            |
| Waiver of notice  | Yes | No            |
| Waiver of legal proceedings   | Yes | No            |
| Waiver of a jury trial  | Yes | No            |
| Waiver of right to appeal court decision  | Yes | No            |
| Tenant chargeable with cost of legal actions regardless of outcome  | Yes | No            |
| Tenant has less than 30 days to vacate the unit after receiving written notice of lease termination  Program Management & Audit | Yes | No<br>Page 34 |

If any of the above listed answers are "yes", the recipient will be required to do one of the following: have their attorney review the language to determine if the language is prohibited as stated in HOME Final Rule 24 CFR Part 92.253 or re-do the lease. The appropriate action will be determined by Compliance Staff and detailed on the monitoring response letter. Please make a copy of the lease to bring back to the office.

| <b>Transitional Housing Only:</b> Did the recipient propose to charge household's a percentage of |            |          |
|---|------------|----------|
| income for housing?   | Yes        | No       |
| Was this done in calculating tenant rent?  If no, explain.  | Yes        | No       |
| <b>All other rental:</b> Did the recipient propose rents that are less than the published limits? | Yes        | No       |
| If yes, what is the percentage?   |            |          |
| Were all tenant rents reflective of this percentage? <i>If no, explain.</i>                       | Yes        | No       |
|   |            |          |
| AFFIRMATIVE MARKETING (For homebuyer or rental recipients with five or more HOME-assisted units)  |            |          |
| Does the recipient have an IHFA-approved Affirmative Marketing plan?                              | <b>3</b> 7 | NT       |
| If no, explain:   | Yes        | No       |
| Is the recipient evaluating their marketing   |            |          |
| procedures yearly?  If no, explain:   | Yes        | No       |
|   |            |          |
| Who has the recipient identified as underserved in their housing market?                          |            |          |
| Families with children  | Yes        | No       |
| Single parents Elderly  | Yes<br>Yes | No<br>No |
| Disabled  | Yes        | No       |
| Minorities  | Yes        | No       |
| Other   |            |          |

| What marketing efforts has the recipient to reach the underserved populations?   | carried out   |   |      |
|--|---|---|------|
| Media advertising (Television, print, radio, billboard, etc) List outlet   |   | Yes   | No   |
| Community outreach   |   | Yes   | No   |
| Social service referral network  |   | Yes   | No   |
| Other  |   |   |      |
| Please provide a description of the recip For example: ABC Housing Corporation identified sin market. Their marketing efforts aimed to facilities, posters in the library, flyers at in two units being leased by qualified sin  | gle parents as the underserved po<br>oward this group included distrib<br>health care clinics and WIC offic | opulation in their h<br>uting flyers in day | care |
| Project County:  |   |   |      |
| Length of Mandatory Affordability Period   | bod   |   |      |
| Length of Extended Affordability Period  | 1   |   |      |
| Total Affordability Period   |   |   |      |
| Was a Declaration of Low-Income House<br>(Does IHFA have the original for not for<br>no the original must be put in our files.)  | •   | Yes   | No   |
| Utility Allowance (check)  | Year  |   |      |
|  | Section 8 Utility Allowance<br>IHFA Approved Utility Allo   |   |      |
| Utilities (check)  (Scottoned Site Projects)   | Paid by Tenant Paid by Owner Partially paid by Owner and Explain (description of what owner)                |   | nd   |
| (Scattered Site Projects)  |   |   |      |
| Is there a separate utility allowance for each of the separate utili | each property address?  | Yes   | No   |

| Did the Developmen   | t receive:              |                        | ousing Trust Furnsing Tax Credit |                       |                         |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Date Completion Re   | port Submitted to l     | HUD or IHFA            |                                  |                       | _                       |
| Did more than six m verification and the o   |                         | en any person's in     | nitial income                    | Yes                   | No                      |
| If yes, was a second the unit?  If no, explain:  | income verification     | n done prior to m      | noving into                      | Yes                   | No                      |
| Complete the follow  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| Apartment Type   | Gross High<br>HOME Rent | Gross Low<br>HOME Rent | Utility<br>Allowance             | Actual High HOME Rent | Actual Low<br>HOME Rent |
| Efficiency   |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| 1-Bedroom Units  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| 2-Bedroom Units  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| 3-Bedroom Units  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| 4-Bedroom Units  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| CDBG Rental  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| Total number of CD   | RC units assisted       |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
|  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| Total Number of Beneficiaries  What was the total amount of assistance per beneficiary |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| Was the amount of r  |                         |                        |                                  |                       |                         |
| \$25,000 per unit? (\$3  |                         |                        | greater than                     | Yes                   | No                      |
| If yes, did the recipie request a modification   | 2.2                     | ved in the applica     | ation or                         | Yes                   | No                      |
| Transitional Housin propose to charge he income for housing?                           | ousehold's a percen     |                        |                                  | Yes                   | No                      |

Was this done in calculating tenant rent?

No

Yes

| <b>All other rental:</b> Did the recipient propose rents that are less than the published limits?  | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| If yes, what is the percentage?  |     | _  |
| Were all tenant rents reflective of this percentage? <i>If no, explain.</i>  | Yes | No |
|  |     |    |
| Project County:  |     |    |
| Length of Mandatory Affordability Period   |     |    |
| Length of Extended Affordability Period  |     |    |
| Total Length of Affordability Period   |     |    |
| Was a Declaration of Low-Income Housing Commitment recorded? (Does IHFA have the original for not for profits, if no the original must be put in our files.) | Yes | No |
| Utility Allowance (check) Year   |     |    |
| Section 8 Utility Allowance Sche IHFA Approved Utility Allowance   |     |    |
| Utilities (check)  Paid by Tenant Paid by Owner Partially paid by Owner and Tena Explain (description of what is pa  |     |    |
| (Scattered Site Projects)  |     |    |
| Is there a separate utility allowance for each property address? <i>If no explain</i> :  | Yes | No |
| Did more than six months lapse between any persons initial income verification and the date of the lease?  | Yes | No |

| If yes, was a second income verification done prior to moving into the unit?  If no, explain:                          | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
|  |     |    |
| HOME – Homebuyer Projects  |     |    |
| Total Number of homes  |     |    |
| Total Number of Beneficiaries  |     |    |
| Did any home receive greater than \$40,000 in project funds  If yes, explain Did the recipient request a modification? | Yes | No |
| Be sure to complete the Affirmative Marketing questions found on page 17   |     |    |
| Were there liens/deed restrictions/restrictive covenants recorded to show the affordability period                     |     |    |
| and documenting resale/recapture provisions?   | Yes | No |

#### Monitoring Exit Review

This form is to provide you, the award recipient, subrecipient, and/or administrator, with a list of findings, concerns, required actions and/or comments that the IHFA Compliance Monitor has determined at the time of monitoring. These issues are subject to change, as well as other violations found upon further review, prior to the issuance of the monitoring letter.

| Date of Monitoring:   | Award No:                              |
|---|--|
| Recipient:  | Subrecipient:                          |
| Findings:   |  |
|   |  |
| Concerns:   |  |
| Suggested Actions:  |  |
| Comments:   |  |
| The following information was not available at the order to avoid a finding or concern: | e time of monitoring, and is due by in |
| Compliance Monitor:  Recipient Representative:  |  |